

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/676,211	10/01/2003	Chesley P. Dillon	GP-303949 2760/128	9698	
General Motor	7590 12/19/2006 s Corporation	EXAMINER			
General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code 482-C23-B21 300 Renaissance Center P.O. Box 300			CAI, WAYNE HUU		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Detroit, MI 482	265-3000	2617			
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/19/2006	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/676,211	DILLON, CHESLEY P.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Wayne Cai	2617	

	LXummor	1 7.10 01.110	
	Wayne Cai	2617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 29 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the	tension and the corresponding amount	of the fee. The appropr	iate extension fee
set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	r than three months after the mailing da		
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	oliance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> </ol>			ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	·	TE below),	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Const. Clad amander	A
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed.		II be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20 and 22-24</u> .	. •		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	•		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	it before or on the date of filing a N	otice of Anneal will no	nt he entered
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Attachment Sheets.</li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	0	
13.  Other:		gupyer	
·	SUPERVIS	DUC M. NGUYEN SORY PATENT EXAMINE IOLOGY CENTER 2600	R
	<b>-</b> ·		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/676,211

Art Unit: 2617

### **ADVISORY ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed November 29, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant argues that Webb fails to teach or suggest "sending a subscriber notification including an indicator of an action associated with the event... to cause a notification to be conveyed to the user and to additionally cause the action to be automatically executed." The Examiner respectfully disagrees with the arguments above because of the following reasons:

Firstly, the Applicant does not specifically recite exactly what "action" the Applicant intends to claim. Thus, it is the Examiner's position to give the broadest reasonable interpretation of the claim. Hence, any "action" disclosed by the reference associated with the event would clearly reads on the claimed limitation.

Secondly, Webb specifically teaches or suggests at least at paragraph 0032 that "the user then selects reminder activation function 148 to register the gift reminder data into database 44, 72 and calendar 46, 74 so that at a later time the user will receive a timely gift reminder notification from gift reminder service provider 30."

Furthermore, Webb illustrates in figure 3, block 214 that "user receives an electronic reminder of gift idea and event date from reminder service provider at a predetermined period of time before event date, with the reminder including links to relevant gift merchant web sites." More importantly, Webb teaches or suggests at block 212 of figure 3 that "at predetermined period of time before event date, gift

Art Unit: 2617

reminder service provider queries Internet for gift web sites that sell items related to the gift idea."

Based on the message or the disclosure above, it is obvious to one skilled in the art that when the notification is sent to subscriber to notify the upcoming event, this notification is including an indicator of an action associated with the event (i.e., the action of querying Internet for gift web sites and including the links to the notification). It is also clear to one skilled in the art that the action of querying is done automatically without requiring user interaction. Thus, the disclosure of Webb teaches or suggests the claimed limitation.

The Applicant states at the third full paragraph of page 3 that, "the claim tells us that receipt of the notification causes the "action" to be automatically executed." The Examiner respectfully notes that the feature mentioned above is not recited in claims; thus, this feature would not be read into the claim.

Same rejections and explanations are applied to independent claims 8, 14, and 22.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Cai whose telephone number is (571) 272-7798.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 7:00-5:00.

Art Unit: 2617

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duc Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-7503. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.